

EXHIBIT F

EXHIBIT 4

JUDGE'S NOTES

Case No: 2022-CJ-11011 Court: 57mStyle: Ivan Smith vs Uber Technologies Inc, et alDate of
Notes:

NOTE

DEBRA A DOOLITTLE, CSR
131ST DISTRICT COURT
DDOOLITTLE131@GMAIL.COMJudge's
Initials:

115-25		
	<u>MTL</u> :	ng
	RFP # (6) Δ's to provide Affidavits +	
	In-camera docs no later than 11-12-25 @	
	5:00 PM	
	RFP # (12) granted (ordered to	
	produce; if not in poss/cust/control,	
	then affidavit supporting)	
	RFP # (16) Obj Sustained	
	# (44)	
	RFP # 19	
	20	
	36	
	37	
	38	
	61	
	63	
	Sustaining Obj w/o prejudice	
	to reurge	

PROPERTY OF BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE

ATTORNEYS:

E. Ramos & J. Herrera #

A. Angotti Δ

B. Stanley #

L. Goldman CO Δ J. Hernandez Δ

DOCUMENT SCANNED AS FILED

JUDGE'S NOTES

Case No: 2022-C1-11011 Court: 57th

Style: Ivan Smith vs. Uber Technologies

Date of Notes:	NOTE	Judge's Initials:
11-5-25		kg
	RFP (23) onboarding material = granted	
	(24) background check Process = granted	
	(32) rating metrics (star ratings, etc) = granted	
	(49) Communication Logs = granted limited to day of incident	
	(51) Tax Summaries - granted	
	(65) Telematic Triggers = granted 2 weeks prior to incident	

PROPERTY OF BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE

ATTORNEYS: _____

Style: Ivan Smith ^{vs} Uber Technologies
et al

Judge's
Initials:

11-5-25	(67) Marketing Messages	ng
	△ affidavit = burdensome	
	w/ 5 Bus. days	
	(70) Flgs: "[REDACTED]"	
	granted	

PROPERTY OF BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE

ATTORNEYS: _____

